



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/613,891	07/02/2003	Varadarajan Srinivasan	NLMI.P194	6901		
25670	7590	07/06/2009	EXAMINER			
WILLIAM L. PARADICE, III 4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA 95129				RUTKOWSKI, JEFFREY M		
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
2416						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
07/06/2009		PAPER				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/613,891	SRINIVASAN ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI	2416

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI. (3) Steven Nguyen (Primary Examiner).  
 (2) William Paradice (Applicant's Representative). (4) Eric Kim.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Onvural.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agreed to withdraw the 112 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections based on the Applicants remarks. The Examiner also agreed withdraw the obviousness rejection based on the Applicant's remarks with respect to the comparison of departure times feature of the claimed invention. The Applicant may amend claim 21 to tie the method claim to a particular machine.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416	
--	--